

Department of Business Administration

Chair of Foundations of Business Administration and Theories of the Firm Plattenstrasse 14 8032 Zurich, Switzerland http://www.business.uzh.ch/professorships/as.html

Seminar 22MO0155 CORPORATE SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY

Spring Term 2024

Lecturer

Dr. Florian Überbacher (Email: florian.ueberbacher@business.uzh.ch)

Seminar Objective

The course assesses the landscape of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) in theory and practice. The aim is to bridge theory and practice of CSR as well as of its flip- or dark-side which is typically referred to as 'organizational wrongdoing'. The course sensitizes students for ethically critical issues and highlights aspects of responsibility on the organizational level and the individual level. The students will develop and present relevant topics of this field. The class sessions will be interactive, with emphasis on discussion. Students will be expected to write a seminar paper and prepare a presentation.

Seminar Application and Registration

A maximum of <u>20 Master students</u> will be admitted to the seminar. To participate, please send an email to <u>florian.ueberbacher@business.uzh.ch</u> with your CV and grades record by <u>1 February 2024</u>. Participant confirmations will be send out by <u>8 February 2024</u>. Students accepted for the seminar also have to book this seminar through the module booking system.

Seminar Dates and Location

Tuesday, 5 March 2024 (8.15-12.00 a.m., Room SOE-F-12)

Tuesday, 26 March 2024 (8.15-12.00 a.m., Room SOE-F-12)

Tuesday, 16 April 2024 (8.15-12.00 a.m., Room SOE-F-12)

Tuesday, 23 April 2024 (8.15-12.00 a.m., Room SOE-F-12)

Tuesday, 7 May 2024 (8.15-12.00 a.m., Room SOE-F-12)

Tuesday, 14 May 2024 (8.15-12.00 a.m., Room SOE-F-12)

All the 6 seminar sessions take place on site at the University of Zurich in room SOE-F-12, located at Schönberggasse 11.

2

Administrative Details

In the first session (5 March), the course outline will be presented and students have to sign up for a topic. As we will focus in class on a total of 10 topics, between one and two students will be assigned to one topic (depending on the size of the class) and are expected to work in team in the latter case.

Link to UZH Course Catalogue



Version: 8 February 2024

Page 1/10



Grading

In order to get credit for the course, students have to write a seminar paper, present their findings to the class, and participate in the seminar meetings. The successful completion of the seminar accounts for **3 ECTS-Points**, which can be credited to MA: BWL 5.

| Grading Weights: | Seminar Paper | 45% |
|------------------|--|-----|
| | Presentation | 45% |
| | Attendance and participation in class discussion | 10% |

The deadline for handing in the **seminar papers** is **June 1, 2024**. The papers have to be written in English and are related to the assigned overall topic. The specific subject of the seminar papers' topics has to be coordinated with the lecturer (for example, after the corresponding presentation of the overall topic). The **seminar paper** constitutes **45%** of the final grade. The main text (without title, list of references, figures, tables, etc.) of the seminar paper should be **between 2,000 and 3,000 words** long (based on 12-point font size, Times New Roman, 2.5cm margins on all sides, and 1.5 space lined spacing). Further guidelines will be provided at the beginning of the seminar. In one session of the seminar, each student will be able to discuss his/her seminar paper topic in class.

The **seminar presentation** constitutes **45%** of the final grade. The presentations on assigned topics are held in teams of two students (or else by a single student if the total number of students is below 20). Presentations are graded, amongst other, along the ability of the student/team to convey the content in an understandable and interesting manner to the audience. The **presentation** should not exceed a maximum time of **45 minutes**. A draft version of the presentation has to be send to the lecturer latest Wednesday in the week before the presentation for feedback. All teams are asked to facilitate **class discussions up to 45-60 minutes** after their presentation. The organization of an appealing and creative discussion format is equally important to the seminar presentation. Discussion formats could include for example role plays, panel discussions, focus groups, case study discussions, competitions, among others. The discussants are encouraged to involve all class participants as well as the lectures (as participants). The creativity and usefulness of the chosen discussion format will be acknowledged in the grading.

Finally, active **oral participation** in class constitutes **10%** of the final grade. Each session will be highly interactive. Students must carefully prepare some lectures if announced (e.g., read a text, read a case study).

The following **research questions** can guide you in preparing your presentations as well as your papers:

- (1) What is the theoretical/scientific relevance of the phenomenon you study?
- (2) What is the practical relevance of the topic you analyze?
- (3) What are the challenges for companies in relation to the topic you analyze?
- (4) What are possible solutions?
- (5) What are theoretical and practical implications that can be derived from these solutions?



Seminar Readings

The readings will be distributed on 8 February 2024 via email. For each of the 10 themes that we will cover in the five sessions after the introductory lecture (5 March), one reading, marked with , is mandatory for all students. Yet, in order to facilitate group discussion in class, students are strongly encouraged to read (or to at least browse) also some of the other readings listed.

The students preparing the presentations are requested to cover all readings and potentially do further literature research.

A Note on Plagiarism

Plagiarism is defined as the use of another person's ideas, knowledge, or language without appropriate crediting of the source. Plagiarism is theft of intellectual property, and therefore is a serious offense. It cannot be tolerated in academic work. Any evidence of plagiarism in a student paper will result in a failing grade for the course. It is also inappropriate to use very long quotes from an author even if the material is properly cited and enclosed in quotation marks. Such a practice raises the possibility that students do not really understand the material they are quoting. Ideas should be expressed in the students' own words except for the occasional use of quotations from other sources to highlight or support specific points. For further information see the *Harvard Guide for Using Sources* and its guidelines on avoiding plagiarism: https://usingsources.fas.harvard.edu/avoiding-plagiarism

Handbooks Related to the Seminar Topics

- Brenkert, G.G., & Beauchamp, T.L. 2010. The Oxford handbook of business ethics. Oxford, New York: Oxford University Press.
- Crane, A., McWilliams, A., Matten, D., Moon, J., & Siegel, D.S. 2008. The Oxford handbook of corporate social responsibility. Oxford, New York: Oxford University Press.
- Doh, J.P., & Stumpf, S.A. 2005. Handbook on responsible leadership and governance in global business. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar.
- Scherer, A.G., & Palazzo, G. 2008. Handbook of research on global corporate citizenship. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar.



THEMES AND DATES

In the following you will find the themes we will address during the course. The readings listed under each theme provide you with a preliminary introduction to the literature in that field. The course instructor will provide the references to you.

Introductory lecture (given by lecturer) (5 March)

a) Introduction and Theoretical Foundations of CSR. In an ongoing globalization process, organizations are faced with changing environmental conditions and growing demands of internal and external stakeholders. This in turn enhances the pressure on firms to engage in corporate social responsibility initiatives in order to address these challenges. The expanding role of the business corporation in society is discussed in the scholarly literature under the umbrella term of corporate social responsibility (CSR). CSR is becoming a broad field within management research. Its scholarly roots can be traced back to the 1950s. Yet, it gained momentum in the 1990s and evolved into a concept that is discussed from very different theoretical perspectives and that is defined in multiple ways. The seminar will start with a general introduction into the field of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) and its related theories.

b) How to Conduct a Good Presentation/Write a Good Seminar Paper. In the first session, you will get an additional introduction on how to conduct a presentation in our seminar. We will examine the structure and content of a presentation and highlight the important aspects you need to consider when preparing it. We will review what a good presentation is and we will discuss how you can increase the interactivity with the audience during your presentation.

In this session, we will also discuss how to write a good seminar paper. We will examine the structure and content of a scientific paper and highlight the important aspects you need to consider when preparing your paper. This session is also useful to discuss the ideas for the topic of your seminar paper. You will be able to work with your peers on your ideas and we will discuss your research questions in class.

References (not included among the reading documents):

Bänsch, A., & Alewell 2009. Wissenschaftliches Arbeiten, München: Oldenbourg.

Huff, A. S. 1999. Writing for scholarly publication, Thousand Oaks, USA: Sage.

Huff, A. S. 2009. Designing research for publication, London: Sage.

Theisen, R. 2012. Wissenschaftliches Arbeiten: Technik-Methodik-Form, 15. Auflage, München: Vahlen.

For further guidelines on how to write scientific papers, please refer to the download box on our homepage: http://www.business.uzh.ch/professorships/as/themenliste.html



Theme 1: Corporate Wrongdoing: The Dark Side

(26 March)

In addition to looking at CSR, it also makes sense to focus on the flip-side of the CSR concept and thus on the dark side of corporate behavior. I refer to it here as "corporate wrongdoing" but there are other related concepts around (e.g. corporate misconduct, crime, etc.). The purpose of the according presentation is to answer the following questions: What is wrongdoing in a corporate context? What does it involve? In how far is "wrongdoing" different from related concepts? Why and under which conditions does wrongdoing come about on different levels (e.g. individual, organizational, or industry-level)? (Please do not focus on how it can be prevented or controlled. This will be covered in the three subsequent themes and sessions)

References:

- Gabbioneta, C., Greenwood, R., Mazzola, P., & Minoja, M. 2013. The influence of the institutional context on corporate illegality. Accounting, Organizations and Society, 38: 484-504.
- Greve, H. R., Palmer, D., & Pozner, J. E. 2010. Organizations gone wild: The causes, processes, and consequences of organizational misconduct. Academy of Management Annals, 4(1): 53-107.
- Palmer, D. A. 2013. The new perspective on organizational wrongdoing. California Management Review, 56(1): 5-23. Academy of Management Review, 33(3): 685-709.
- Pinto, J., Leana, C. R., & Pil, F. K. 2008. Corrupt Organizations or Organizations of Corrupt Individuals? Two Types of Organization-Level Corruption

Theme 2: The role of the media

(26 March)

Believe it or not, but the media are one of the most powerful stakeholders for companies! Sometimes, they are even referred to as the "fourth power" ("Die vierte Gewalt") after government, parliament and the courts. As "infomediaries", they aggregate and evaluate newsworthy corporate events and shape not only public opinion more generally but importantly also the judgments and actions of those stakeholders that companies are more directly dependent on (e.g. investors, suppliers, buyers, regulators, etc.). Hence, bad media evaluations tend to have bad consequences for corporate wrongdoers. Overall, in this presentation, one could cover the following questions: What are the media? How do they differ? What are the social control functions of the media? In how far do they fulfill these functions? How do the media respond to, or let us rather say: how do they "construct" corporate wrongdoing? What are the consequences of bad media evaluations for companies (both for the targets and for similar others)?

References:

Choi, T. J., & Valente, M. 2023. The Crisis in Local Newspapers and Organizational Wrongdoing: The Role of Community Social Connectedness. Organization Science, 34(5): 1777-1799.



- Etter, M., Ravasi, D., & Colleoni, E. 2019. Social media and the formation of organizational reputation. Academy of Management Review, 44(1): 28-52.
- Karunakaran, A., Orlikowski, W. J., & Scott, S. V. 2022. Crowd-Based Accountability: Examining How Social Media Commentary Reconfigures Organizational Accountability. Organization Science, 33(1): 170-193.
- * Koelbl, J. F., Busch, T., & Jancso, L. M. 2017. How media coverage of corporate social irresponsibility increases financial risk. Strategic Management Journal, 38: 226-2284.

Theme 3: The role of social movements

(16 April)

Social movements – forms of relatively informal collective action with a focus on ethical, political, or social issues and aimed at promoting social change – are another powerful stakeholder for dissuading corporate wrongdoers and setting them on a different, more responsible path. Just think about the enormous influence that environmental movements ("Fridays For Future", sparked by Greta Thunberg, and the "Extinction Rebellion") have recently been developing across Europe. This presentation could deal with the following questions: What are major types of social movements of relevance to the promotion of CSR, and how do they differ from each other? Why and when do social movements form? How (through what strategies and tactics) can social movements dissuade companies and industries from wrongdoing? How and when does it work?

References:

- Bartley, T., & Child, C. 2014. Shaming the corporation: The social production of targets and the anti-sweatshop movement. American Sociological Review, 79(4): 653-679.
- * Briscoe, F., & Gupta, A. 2016. Social Activism in and around Organizations. Academy of Management Annals, 10(1): 671-727.
- King, B. G., 2008. A political mediation model of corporate response to social movement activism. Administrative Science Quarterly, 53(3): 395-421.
- Buchter, L. 2021. Escaping the Ellipsis of Diversity: Insider Activists' Use of Implementation Resources to Influence Organization Policy. Administrative Science Quarterly, 66(2): 521-565.

Theme 4: The role of standards and certifications

(16 April)

Corporate codes of conduct, product certifications, various standards, and other private and voluntary forms of governance have become critical tools to address CSR and corporate sustainability issues over the last three decades. The have been developed to compensate and complement public and mandatory forms of regulation which arguably have become increasingly limited as the regulatory power of governments has become increasingly hampered in our world of globalization and neoliberalism. In this session, we will attempt to address the following questions: What is private governance? Why is it necessary? What are the different types of private governance tools? How do they work? Under which



conditions is private governance successful in making companies more responsible and sustainable?

References:

- * Gilbert, D. U., Rasche, A., Waddock, S. 2011. Accountability in a global economy: The emergence of international accountability standards.
- Mayer, F., & Gereffi, G. 2010. Regulation and Economic Globalization: Prospects and Limits of Private Governance. Business and Politics, 12(3): 1-25.
- Reinecke, J., Manning, S., & von Hagen, O. 2012. The emergence of a standards market: Multiplicity of sustainability standards in the global coffee industry. Organization Studies, 33(5-6): 791-814.
- Short, J. L., Toffel, M. W., & Hugill, A. R. 2016. Monitoring global supply chains. Strategic Management Journal, 37: 1878-1897.

Theme 5: The role of multi-stakeholder initiatives

(23 April)

Multi-stakeholder initiatives (MSI) and cross-sector partnerships (CSP_ have become a core topic both in CSR research and in CSR practice. Hereby, companies, civil society stakeholders (such as NGOs) and potentially also governmental authorities and international organizations come together to jointly address issues of corporate responsibility and grand societal challenges in more or less collaborative and democratic ways. Frequently, the purpose is also to jointly address grand societal or environmental problems such as global warming, health issues, or inequalities in our societies. To better understand this topic, the following questions shall be addressed: What are MSI and CSP? How do different types MSI differ from each other? How and when do MSIs/CSPs work? How and when do they fail?

References:

- Gray, B., & Stites, J. 2013. Sustainability through Partnerships: Capitalizing on Collaboration. Report for the Network for Business Sustainability. https://www.nbs.net/articles/sustainability-through-partnerships-a-systematic-review
- Moog, S., Spicer, A., & Böhm, S. 2015. The politics of multi-stakeholder initiatives: The crisis of the Forest Stewardship Council. Journal of Business Ethics, 128: 469-493.
- Dentoni, D., Bitzer, V., & Schouten, G. 2018. Harnessing wicked problems in multistakeholder partnerships. Journal of Business Ethics, 150: 333-356.
- Couture, F., Jarzabkowski, P., & Le, J. K. 2022. Triggers, traps and disconnect: How governance obstacles hinder progress on grand challenges. Academy of Management Journal, Article in Press.



Theme 6: The role of investors and financial markets

(23 April)

Due to the progressing "financialization" of the economy (Davis & Kim, 2015), actors in the financial market (such as investors, banks, fund managers, and financial analysts) have become particularly powerful stakeholders. This presentation should introduce us to the stance of financial market actors with regards to CSR. It could for instance address the following questions: How do actors in the financial market evaluate and respond to responsible/irresponsible companies? Why? How have these evaluations and responses changed over time? Why? What are recent developments and innovations in the financial market that aim at increasing the focus of investors on CSR or sustainability? How do they fare – and why? For giving this theme a particular Swiss touch and local grounding, you may also take a look at how the sustainable/responsible investment sector has developed in Switzerland: https://marketstudy2023.sustainablefinance.ch/

References:

- Desjardine, M., & Durand, R. 2020. Disentangling the effects of hedge fund activism on firm financial and social performance. Strategic Management Journal, article in press.
- * Marti, E., Fuchs, M., DesJardine, M. R., Slager, R., & Gond, J. P. 2023. The Impact of Sustainable Investing: A Multidisciplinary Review. Journal of Management Studies, Article in Press. (doi:10.1111/joms.12957)
- Proffitt, W. T., & Spicer, A. 2006. Shaping the shareholder activism agenda: Institutional investors and global issues. Strategic Organization, 4(2): 165-190.
- Rohleder, M., Wilkens, M., & Zink, J. 2022. The effects of mutual fund decarbonization on stock prices and carbon emissions. Journal of Banking and Finance, 134: 1-15.

Theme 7: The role of governments

(7 May)

From this theme onward, we take a look at various stakeholders and investigate what they can do to (1) prevent companies from engaging in wrongdoing and (2) motivate companies to engage in CSR. We start with governments. As such, the purpose of this session is to answer the following questions: What are the tools and mechanisms available for governmental authorities in order to make sure that companies refrain from wrongdoing and rather comply with laws and regulations? How and when do they work? When are they used? What is the relationship between governments and CSR? Which tools and mechanisms can states use to motivate companies to engage in CSR? How and when do they work?

References:

- Kourula, A., Moon, J., Salles-Djelic, M. L., & Wickert, C. 2019. New Roles of Government in the Governance of Business Conduct: Implications for Management and Organizational Research. Organization Studies, 40(8): 1101-1123.
- Lin, L. W. 2020. Mandatory corporate social responsibility legislation around the world: Emergent varieties and national experiences. University of Pennsylvania Journal of Business Law, 23(2): 429-469.



Mazzucato, M. 2018. Mission-oriented innovation policies: Challenges and opportunities. Industrial and Corporate Change, 27(5): 803-815.

Schrempf-Stirling, J., & Wettstein, F. 2022. The mutual reinforcement of hard and soft regulation. Academy of Management Perspectives, Article in press.

Theme 8: Social entrepreneurship and social enterprises

(7 May)

Social entrepreneurship has become an enormously popular topic for addressing social and environmental issues. Governments promote the topic, universities promote the topic, and many students nowadays aim to become social entrepreneurs or work for social enterprises after their studies. So, we need to address a few issues and questions in this final theme: What is social entrepreneurship / what are social enterprises? How does it work? How is it organized? What are potential challenges? Will it save us and our planet?

References:

- Blowfield, M. E., & Dolan, C. 2010. Fairtrade Facts and Fancies: What Kenyan Fairtrade Tea Tells us About Business' Role as Development Agent. Journal of Business Ethics, 93(SUPPL. 2), 143–162.
- * Ebrahim, A., Battilana, J., & Mair, J. 2014. The governance of social enterprises: Mission drift and accountability challenges in hybrid organizations. Research in Organizational Behavior, 34: 81-100.
- Hietschold, N., Voegtlin, C., Scherer, A. G., & Gehman, J. 2022. Pathways to social value and social change: An integrative review of the social entrepreneurship literature. International Journal of Management Reviews. Article in press.
- Spicer, J., Kay, T., & Ganz, M. 2019. Social entrepreneurship as field encroachment: How a neoliberal social movement constructed a new field. Socio-Economic Review, 17(1), 195–227.

Theme 9: The role of top management and responsible leadership (14 May)

According to the so-called 'upper echelons' perspective (e.g. Hambrick, D. C. 2007. Upper Echelons Theory: An Update. Academy of Management Review, 32: 334-343), it is the top management – especially the CEO but also other members of the top management team – that largely determines corporate strategies and their outcomes. Following this general line of argument, a wealth of studies have investigated how characteristics of the CEO and the top management team affect the social (ir)responsibility of corporations and their actions. Covering this field of research, this presentation could for instance address the following questions: How and under which conditions do top management characteristics shape corporate outcomes? Which top management characteristics may lead to corporate social responsibility and irresponsibility? Why? Which factors may strengthen the effect of certain top management characteristics on corporate responsibility and decrease the effect of other top management characteristics on irresponsibility?



References:

- Flammer, C., Hong, B., & Minor, D. 2019. Corporate governance and the rise of integrating corporate social responsibility criteria in executive compensation: Effectiveness and implications for firm outcomes. Strategic Management Journal, 40: 1097-122.
- Gröschl, S., Gabaldon, P., & Hahn, T. 2019. The co-evolution of leaders' cognitive complexity and corporate sustainability: The case of the CEO of Puma. Journal of Business Ethics, 155: 741-762.
- Schnatterly, K., Gangloff, K. A., & Tushke, A. 2018. CEO wrongdoing: A review of pressure, opportunity, and rationalization. Journal of Management, 44(6): 2405-2432.
- * Stahl, G. K., & Sully de Luque, M. (2014). Antecedents of responsible leader behavior: A research synthesis, conceptual framework, and agenda for future research. Academy of Management Perspectives, 28(3), 235-254

Theme 10: CSR Implementation

(14 May)

Last but not least: To effect real change rather than constructing a smoke screen, CSR needs to be firmly implemented in companies. However, as you probably all know from your own experience, formulating strategies or plans is much easier than actually implementing them. Hence, we focus on perhaps the most crucial one: CSR implementation. In this context, we should learn more about the following issues: How can CSR be implemented in corporations? What are the main levers and hurdles – and how can these be overcome? Who – besides top managers, who we have already covered – are key actors in the CSR implementation process, and what can they do promote CSR in the corporation?

References:

- Figge, F., Hahn, T., Schaltegger, S., & Wagner, M. 2002. The sustainability balanced scorecard linking sustainability management to business strategy. Business Strategy and the Environment, 11: 269-284.
- Risi, D., Wickert, C., & Ramus, T. 2022. Coordinated enactment: How organizational departments work together to implement CSR. Business & Society, Article in press.
- Wickert, C., & de Bakker, F. G. A. 2018. Pitching for social change: Toward a relational approach to selling and buying social issues. Academy of Management Discoveries, 4(1): 50-73.
- Wickert, C., Scherer, A. G., & Spence, L. J. (2016). Walking and talking corporate social responsibility: Implications of firm size and organizational cost. Journal of Management Studies, 53(7), 1169-1196.